## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/639,619 WRIGHT, MICHAEL N. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Y Quach Lee 2875 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Y Quach Lee. (3)\_\_\_\_\_. (4) . (2) Mr. John R. Schaefer. Date of Interview: 27 January 2005. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1,4 and 6. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f(X) was reached. f(X) was not reached. f(X) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner contacted the applicant's representative for the purpose of correcting the language of "disposed on" to "mounted to" and to delete the language "disposed on ..." as well as "wherein said battery ..." to properly define the claimed invention. Note the attached Examiner's amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Y. MY QUACH-LEE **PRIMARY EXAMINER** Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required Attachment to a signed Office action.